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# TARGET OF EVALUATION (1/2 page)

This section should describe the part of the use case that you have analyzed and the assumptions you have made during the analysis.

# METHOD APPLICATION (4-5 pages)

This section should document how you have followed the steps of the security requirements and risk methods.

# SUMMARY OF RESULTS (1 page)

This section should summarize for each assets, the threats and the security/privacy requirements that mitigates the threats.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **ASSET** | **THREAT** | **SECURITY/PRIVACY REQUIREMENT** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
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