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Present-day information systems (IS) are vulnerable to a host of threats.

With increasing complexity of applications and services, there is a correspondingly greater chance of suffering from breaches in security.

Our Information Society, depends on a huge number of IS which have a critical role.

It is absolutely vital that IS are ensured as being safe right from the very beginning.
• It is widely-accepted that the building of security into the early stages of the development process is cost-effective and also brings about more robust designs.

😊 However, in the majority of software projects security is dealt with when the system has already been designed and put into operation.

😊 The requirements specification phase is often carried out with the aid of just a few descriptions, or the specification of objectives are put down on a few sheets of paper.

😊 Many developers tend to describe design solutions in terms of protection mechanisms.

➡️ Security Requirements Engineering
As it is common that security requirements are undervalued and not well understood

→ Security Requirements Engineering

→ It is absolutely vital that IS are ensured as being safe right from the very beginning.

**SREP**: deals with the security requirements at the early stages of software development in a systematic and intuitive way, it is based on the reuse of security requirements, together with the integration of the Common Criteria and the use of specific techniques within the scope of Security Requirement Engineering.
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General Overview of SREP

Integration of Common Criteria Components
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• Asset-based and risk-driven.
• It describes how to integrate the CC into the software lifecycle model.
• Reuse of security requirements, assets, threats, security objectives, countermeasures → security resources repository
• The core of SREP is a micro-process, made up of nine steps which are repeatedly performed at each stage of the lifecycle.
• SQA activities where the CC Assurance Requirements might be incorporated into.
• Iterative and incremental
• It facilitates the reusability → a security resources repository.
• It facilitates the traceability of the security requirements.
• The focus of this methodology seeks to build security concepts at the early stages of the software development
• It supports and includes concepts and techniques within the scope of Security Requirement Engineering and Risk Management and Analysis → UMLSec, security use cases, misuse cases, threat/attack trees.
• It conforms to several standards within the scope of Requirement Engineering and Security Management → ISO/IEC 17799:2005 (current ISO/IEC 27002) and ISO/IEC 15408
• SREP Compliance with Standards.
  – It conforms to ISO/IEC 17799:2005 (current ISO/IEC 27002) with regard to security requirements (sections: 0.3, 0.4, 0.6 and 12.1)
  – We take into account the IEEE 830-1998 standard (Requirements Inspection) \( \rightarrow \) a requirement of quality has to be correct, unambiguous, complete, consistent, ranked for importance and/or stability, verifiable, modifiable, and traceable.
  – Common Criteria (ISO/IEC 15408)
• SREP is based on several current techniques:
  – UMLSec
  – Security use cases
  – Misuse cases
• The SRR (Security Resources Repository) repository understands the concepts of domains and profiles packages and Protection Profiles (Common Criteria)
• A meta-model, which is an extension of the meta-model for repository proposed by Sindre, G., D.G. Firesmith, and A.L. Opdahl, showing the organization of the SRR is exposed below. (The dark background in the objects represents our contribution to the meta-model)
• ‘Generic Threat’ and ‘Generic Security Requirement’ describe independently of particular domains. And they can be represented as different specifications, thanks to the elements ‘Threat Specification’ and ‘Security Requirement Cluster Specification’.

• ‘Security Requirement Cluster’ is a set of requirements that work together in satisfying the same security objective and mitigating the same threat. We agree with Sindre, G., D.G. Firesmith, and A.L. Opdahl that, in many cases, it is a bigger and more effective unit of reuse.

• The ‘Req-Req’ relationship allows an inclusive or exclusive trace between requirements. An exclusive trace between requirements means that they are mutually alternative, as for example that they are in conflict or overlapping. Whereas, an inclusive trace between requirements means that to satisfy one, another/other/s is/are needed to be satisfied.
• CC does not give methodological support, nor contain security evaluation criteria pertaining to administrative security measures not directly related to the IS security measures.

• However, it is known that an important part of the security of an IS can be often achieved through administrative measures.

→ We propose to include legal, statutory, regulatory, and contractual requirements that the organization, its trading partners, contractors, and service providers have to satisfy, and their socio-cultural environment (ISO/IEC 17799 – current ISO/IEC 27002).

→ After converting these requirements into software and system requirements format, these would be the initial subset of security requirements of the SRR.
• Step 1: Agree on definitions
• Step 2: Identify vulnerable and/or critical assets
• Step 3: Identify security objectives and dependencies
• Step 4: Identify threats and develop artefacts.
• Step 5: Risk assessment
• Step 6: Elicit security requirements
• Step 7: Categorize and prioritize requirements
• Step 8: Requirements inspections
• Step 9: Repository improvement
• At the same time, as we integrate into these nine steps the CC security functional requirements, we propose to outline the EALs in the software test plan and then verify them during test execution.

• And parallely, we proposed to introduce the CC security assurance requirements into SQA activities, like quality control, defect prevention and defect removal activities. The configuration management plan is the first activity that is explicitly required to fulfil the CC security assurance requirements.
- **Activity 1: Agree on definitions.** The first task for the organization is to define the stakeholders and to agree upon a common set of security definitions, along with the definition of the organizational security policies and the security vision of the IS. It is in this activity when the *Vision Document* artefact is created and it must contain the general vision of the IS with a special focus on security aspects. In addition the stakeholders will participate in these latter tasks, and the candidate definitions will be mainly taken from ISO/IEC and IEEE standards, such as ISO/IEC 13335, ISO/IEC 17799:2005 (current 27002), ISO/IEC 27001:2005, ISO/IEC 9126, IEEE Std. 830:1998, or IEEE Std. 1061-1992.
• **Activity 2: Identify vulnerable and/or critical assets.** This is where the SRR is used for the first time. It consists of the identification of the different kinds of valuable or critical assets as well as vulnerable assets by the requirements engineer, who can be helped by using:
  – Lists of assets of the SRR, where the assets can be searched by domains, even it can be selected a similar profile.
  – Functional requirements.
  – Interviews with stakeholders.
Activity 3: Identify security objectives and dependencies. In this activity the SRR can be also used. Otherwise we will take into account the security policy of the Organization as well as legal requirements and other constraints in order to determine the security objectives. For each asset identified in the previous activity, the appropriate security objectives for the asset are selected and the dependencies between them are identified. Moreover the security objectives for the environment are retrieved and the assumptions about the environment are made in this activity. Security objectives are expressed by specifying the necessary security level as a probability, and they are also specified in terms of likely attacker types. The Security Objectives Document is developed in this activity and it may be refined in subsequent iterations (within the Inception and Elaboration phases).
Activity 4: Identify threats and develop artifacts. Each asset is targeted by threat/s that can prevent the security objective from being achieved. First of all, it is necessary to find all the threats that target these assets with the help of the SRR. In addition, it could be necessary to develop artifacts (such as misuse cases or attack trees diagrams or UMLSec use cases and classes or sequence/state diagrams) to develop new specific or generic threats or requirements. Also it is necessary to look for threats that are not linked/related to the assets of the repository, therefore according to CC assurance requirements we could search in public domain sources to identify potential vulnerabilities in the IS, or we could instantiate the business use cases into misuse cases or instantiate the threat-attack trees associated to the business and application pattern. At this point it may be possible to take one or several existing Protection Profiles or packages and adapt them to meet modified requirements. Finally, it is also defined the security problem and the conformance claims, thereby it is generated the Security Problem Definition Document which must contain the threats, assumptions, and conformance claims. In addition, this document may be refined in subsequent iterations.
• Activity 5: Risk assessment. Risk must be normally determined from application to application. The final goal to achieve is the 100% risk acceptance. Firstly, it is necessary to assess whether the threats are relevant according to the security level specified by the security objectives. Then we have to estimate the security risks based on the relevant threats, their likelihood and their potential negative impacts. All of this is captured in the Risk Assessment Document, which is refined in subsequent iterations (within the Inception and Elaboration phases). Several methodologies can be used to carry out the risk assessment. The ISO/IEC 13335 (GMITS), provides guidance on the use of the risk management process. In Spain it might be used MAGERIT (the Spanish public administration risk analysis and management method) or CRAMM (CCTA Risk Analysis and Management Method) in the UK. Thereby, this assessment allows us to discover how the organization’s risk tolerance is affected with regard to each threat. The stakeholders will take part in this activity.
Activity 6: Elicit security requirements. Here, the SRR is used again. For each threat retrieved from the repository, one or more associated clusters of security requirements may be found. The suitable security requirements or the suitable cluster of security requirements that mitigate the threats at the necessary levels with regard to the risk assessment must be selected. However, additional requirements or clusters of requirements may be found by other means. Moreover, it might be specified the security test for each security requirement cluster, as well as an outline of the countermeasures for each security requirement, although they are refined at the design stage. Nevertheless, we agree with Firesmith in the fact that care should be taken to avoid unnecessarily and prematurely architectural mechanisms specification. Thus, at the end of this activity and according to ISO/IEC 17799:2005 (current ISO/IEC 27002) it must have been specified the functional, assurance, and organizational security requirements, along with the security requirements for the IT development and operational environment. Thereby, the Security Requirements Specification Document is created and refined in subsequent iterations.
• **Activity 7: Categorize and prioritize requirements.** Each requirement is categorized and prioritized in a qualitative ranking in a way that the most important requirements (in terms of impact and likelihood) are handled first.
**Activity 8: Requirements inspection.** Requirements inspection is carried out in order to validate all the generated artifacts (all the documents, requirements, the modified model elements and the new generated model elements) and it is generated a *Validation Report*. Its aim is to review the quality of the team’s work and deliverables as well as assesses the security requirements engineering process. So, it is used as a sanity check. Moreover, it is verified whether the security requirements conform to the IEEE 830-1998 standard, because according to this standard, a requirement of quality has to be correct, unambiguous, complete, consistent, ranked for importance and/or stability, verifiable, modifiable, and traceable. After all, the security requirements documentation is written, so that a *Security Requirements Rationale Document* is provided, showing that if all the security organizational, functional and assurance requirements are satisfied and all security objectives are achieved, the defined security problem is solved: all the threats are countered, the organizational security policies are enforced and all assumptions are upheld. Furthermore, it is performed within the Test workflow of the UP and with the help of the CC assurance requirements and EALs (Evaluation Assurance Level) and the SSE-CMM (ISO/IEC 21827). Thereby, we propose to evaluate the security of the IS along with the security engineering process by using the CC assurance requirements and the SSE-CMM at the same time with the help of CC_SSE-CMM. Thus referring to CC_SSE-CMM Part 3, the Process Area (PA) in association with CC EAL can be selected and based on the PA selected it can be determined the current level of SSE-CMM operation capability and extract the path for the better operation capability level. Thus, it can be assured that a security IS with a high reliability will be developed by conducting the CC evaluation and the SSE-CMM evaluation at the same time. Additionally, this activity is carried out by the quality assurer and by the inspection team at the last phase (Transition phase), with the participation of the stakeholders and security requirements engineers mainly.
• **Activity 9: Repository improvement.** The new model elements (threats, requirements, etc…) found throughout the development of the previous activities and which are considered as likely to be used in forthcoming applications and with enough quality, according to the Validation Report, are introduced into the SRR. Furthermore, the model elements already in the repository could be modified in order to improve their quality. Thereby, all these new or modified model elements/artifacts, which have been introduced into the SRR, altogether constitute a baseline. After that the **Security Target or Protection Profile documents** of the CC are written. This activity will be performed coinciding with the milestone at the end of each phase of the UP.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SREP - Roles</th>
<th>X, has responsibility</th>
<th>Business modeller</th>
<th>Security requirement engineer</th>
<th>Risk expert</th>
<th>Security expert</th>
<th>Security developer</th>
<th>Quality assurer</th>
<th>Inspection team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree on definitions</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify vulnerable and/or critical assets</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify security objectives and dependencies</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify threats and develop artifacts</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk assessment</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elicit security requirements</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Categorize and prioritize requirements</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirements inspection</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository improvement</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These roles are a supplement to the roles in software engineering, but are especially focused on security and also require special training.

- **Business modeller.** He/she describes the business processes, the roles involved and the artifacts produced or used in the process. He/she helps develop artifacts in SREP (like misuse cases, etc.) and construct the processes in a security-enhanced way, which fit in the business model of the IS.

- **Security requirement engineer.** This is the key role and it participates and leads most activities. It is in charge of the security vision of the IS, it also identifies the assets, the security objectives and its dependencies and the threats, and elicits and specifies the requirements, as well as categorizes and prioritizes the requirements with the help of other kind of specialists (if needed). Depending on the size of the project more than one person can be assigned to this role. Furthermore, this role must not necessarily have a thorough technical understanding of security, although a sound security management is required.
**Security expert.** The main task of the security expert is to improve the overall security of the IS. This role is the technical expert in security so that he/she acts as a consultant, and helps us find security relevant information, estimate the degree to which IS meets its security claims and define the security vision of the IS and the organizational security policies and measures.

**Security developer.** The role of the security developer is to support the construction of tests to help the Requirements Inspection activity during the Test workflow of the UP.
• **Quality assurer.** This is the role responsible for the Requirements Inspection activity within the Test workflow of the UP and it could take advantage of the use of the CC assurance classes. In addition, this role can help us with informal reviews of the quality of the most important artifacts in each activity.

• **Inspection team.** It is a group external to the IS development team whose aim is to review the quality of the development team’s work and deliverables as well as evaluate the security engineering process by using the CC assurance requirements and the SSE-CMM, with the help of CC_SSE-CMM [12]. Besides it is the role responsible for the Requirements Inspection activity within the Transition phase of the UP. Additionally, this team is in charge of the assurance that the IS meets its security claims with the help of the EALs.
• Iterations
  The integration of SREP, with the CC and with the phases of the UP is presented below:

• Inception. It is the first phase and it is focused on the earlier activities of SREP. The security vision document is produced, and around the 50% of the first order requirements are defined, therefore a similar percentage of the assets, security objectives and threats. In addition, the security problem definition is carried out and an overall risk outline is performed. Moreover, the main focus with regard to the CC assurance classes is on the following classes: Composition, Lifecycle Support and Vulnerability Assessment. Also, at this point, it may be possible to take an existing or several Protection Profiles or packages and adapt them to meet modified requirements. Nevertheless, it is difficult to conduct everything in one iteration, so it might be necessary another iteration with more mature understanding of the IS.
• **Elaboration.** More than one iteration may be normally made at this phase depending on the size and complexity of the project. The goal of this phase, and according to ISO/IEC 17799:2005, is to identify around 98% of the critical/vulnerable assets, security objectives, threats and first ordered requirements and around 90% of second ordered requirements. Moreover a refinement of the risk assessment and the security problem definition is carried out. In addition, this phase is also focused on the requirements categorization and prioritization, and on the requirements inspection as well as on the security requirements rationale. Therefore, the most important CC assurance classes for this phase are: Security Target Evaluation, Protection Profile Evaluation, Guidance Documents, Development, and Vulnerability Assessment.
• **Construction.** At this phase, the remaining requirements are defined along with the final design and the implementation of the security countermeasures. The Requirements Inspection activity is emphasized at this phase. The main focus with regard to the CC assurance classes is on the following classes: Security Target or PP Evaluation, Development, Composition and Vulnerability Assessment.
• **Transition.** It is the last phase and when the IS is put into productive use. The danger is, however, that other requirements can emerge, thus security risks must be considered and therefore they must be dealt with carefully and in a pragmatic way. This phase is focused on the Requirements Inspection and Repository Improvement activities. So, the most important CC assurance classes for this phase are: Security Target or PP Evaluation, Tests, Guidance Documents, Composition, and Vulnerability Assessment.
# Iterations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Phases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree on definitions</td>
<td>Inception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify vulnerable and/or critical assets</td>
<td>Elaboration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify security objectives &amp; dependencies</td>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify threats &amp; develop artifacts</td>
<td>Transition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk assessment</td>
<td>Iteration #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elicit security requirements</td>
<td>Iter #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Categorize &amp; prioritize requirements</td>
<td>Iter #3...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirements inspection</td>
<td>Iter. #n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repository Improvement</td>
<td>Iter. #n+1...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iter. #m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iter. #m+1...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Case study of an application of the National Social Security Institute (of Spain):

Initial functional requirements:

- Req 1: On request-1 from an EndUser, the system shall display information about his/her pension/s. This request shall include the social security number of the EndUser.
- Req 2: On request-2 from an EndUser, the system shall update the personal information of the pensioner. This request shall include the social security number of the EndUser and changed personal data.
### Caso de Estudio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fec. solicitud</th>
<th>Solicitud/Expediente</th>
<th>Prestación</th>
<th>Titulares</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02/06/2004</td>
<td>32 2004 800018 00</td>
<td>Orfandad</td>
<td>ESPAÑOL ESPAÑOL, JUAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/04/2004</td>
<td>28 2004 800684 00</td>
<td>Viudedad</td>
<td>ESPAÑOL ESPAÑOL, JUAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/04/2004</td>
<td>28 2004 801254 00</td>
<td>Jubilación</td>
<td>ESPAÑOL ESPAÑOL, JUAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/02/2004</td>
<td>28 2003 500744 96</td>
<td>Auxilio por defunción</td>
<td>ESPAÑOL ESPAÑOL, JUAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/02/2004</td>
<td>28 2003 500742 94</td>
<td>Viudedad</td>
<td>ESPAÑOL ESPAÑOL, JUAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/01/2004</td>
<td>01 2004 000004 35</td>
<td>Maternidad</td>
<td>ESPAÑOL ESPAÑOL, JUANA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/11/2003</td>
<td>28 2003 000084 53</td>
<td>Riesgo durante el embarazo</td>
<td>ESPAÑOL ESPAÑOL, JUANA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17/10/2003</td>
<td>28 2003 500304 44</td>
<td>Jubilación</td>
<td>ESPAÑOL ESPAÑOL, JUAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/09/2003</td>
<td>28 2003 500740 92</td>
<td>Incapacidad Permanente</td>
<td>ESPAÑOL ESPAÑOL, JUAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/07/2003</td>
<td>28 2004 000004 00</td>
<td>Incapacidad Temporal</td>
<td>ESPAÑOL ESPAÑOL, JUAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/06/2001</td>
<td>28 2003 500743 95</td>
<td>Orfandad</td>
<td>ESPAÑOL ESPAÑOL, JUAN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Case Study**

**¿Cómo va mi prestación?**

**Consulta de situación de expedientes en trámite**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solicitud nº:</th>
<th>28 2003 000104 73 de Maternidad</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solicitante:</td>
<td>JUANA ESPAÑOL ESPAÑOL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fecha de solicitud:</td>
<td>05/01/2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Situación:</td>
<td>EXPEDIENTE RESUELTO en fecha 06/01/2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empresa:</td>
<td>TRANSPORTERS RIVIERA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tipo de Resolución:</td>
<td>Aprobada</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Datos económicos**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base Reguladora Día/Mes</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Importe Diario/Mensual</th>
<th>Fecha Efectos Económicos</th>
<th>Importe cuotas sociales</th>
<th>Importe Líquido</th>
<th>Fecha Vencimiento</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39,5800</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>29,6850</td>
<td>06/01/2004</td>
<td></td>
<td>29,6850 €</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Forma de pagos**

- Transferencia

**Número de cuenta**

- 2038 1190 89 3001089016
• Activity 1: Agree on definitions
• Activity 2: Identify vulnerable and/or critical assets
  – Personal information: kind of pension (old-age / disability
    (type of disability) / widow’s pension.), amount of money,
    bank account number.
• Activity 3: Identify security objectives and dependencies
  – SO1: Prevent unauthorised disclosure of information.
    (Confidentiality). Valuation – High.
    (Integrity). Valuation – High.
  – SO3: Ensure availability of information to the authorised
    users. Valuation – Medium.
  – SO5: Ensure accountability. Valuation – Medium
• Activity 4: Identify threats and develop artifacts
  – Generic Threat 1: Unauthorised disclosure of information.
  – Generic Threat 2: Unauthorised alteration of information.
  – Generic Threat 3: Unauthorised unavailability to information.
  – Generic Threat 4: Spoof user.
### Nombre del Caso de Uso de Seguridad Genérico:

ID: CUSG-2-2-1-RS3-1 (es el primer CUSG asociado con CMUG-1-1-1) [CUSG-Objetivo Seguridad –Amenaza Generica- Iteración- Requisitos de Seguridad- Caso de Uso de Seguridad Genérico]

### Precondiciones:
1) El atacante [tipo atacante] [nombre atacante] tiene acceso físicamente al mensaje.
2) El atacante [tipo atacante] [nombre atacante] tiene claros conocimientos sobre la estructura y significado del mensaje.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interacciones del Mal</th>
<th>Requisitos del Sistema</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interacciones del Agente del Usuario</strong></td>
<td><strong>Acciones del Agente del Usuario</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El atacante [tipo atacante] [nombre atacante] lo intercepta e identifica la parte del mensaje y lo modifica y [borra</td>
<td>cambia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Poscondiciones:
1) El Agente del Sistema habrá ejecutado [operaciones] [nombre del agente] con el objetivo de detectar que el mensaje ha sido alterado en el camino.
• Activity 5: Risk assessment
  – MAGERIT v.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threat</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Attack</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2.1.1.1.1 Alteration of the information</td>
<td>LOW, if there is not pension information modified</td>
<td>SMUC-2-2-1-1-1</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>LOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HIGH if the opposite is the case.</td>
<td>SMUC-2-2-1-1-1</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Activity 6: Elicit security requirements
  – SR1 a SR6 (security use cases)
SR1: The security functions of PensionApp shall use cryptography [assignment: cryptographic algorithm and key sizes] to protect confidentiality of pension information provided by PensionApp to an EndUser. (CC requirement FCO_CED.1.1)

SR2: The security functions of PensionApp shall identify and authenticate an EndUser by using credentials [assignment: challenge-response technique based on exchange of encrypted random nonces, public key certificate] before an EndUser can bind to the shell of PensionApp. (CC requirements FIA_UID.2.1 & FIA_UAU.1.1)

SR3: When PensionApp transmits pension or pensioner’s information to EndUser, the security functions of PensionApp shall provide that user with the means [assignment: digital signature] to detect [selection: modification, deletion, insertion, replay, other integrity] anomalies. (CC requirement FCO_IED.1.1)

SR4: The security functions of PensionApp shall ensure the availability of the information provided by PensionApp to an EndUser within [assignment: a defined availability metric] given the following conditions [assignment: conditions to ensure availability]. (CC requirement FCO_AED.1.1)

SR5: The security functions of PensionApp shall require evidence that PensionApp has pension information to an EndUser and he/she has received the information. (CC requirement FCO_NRE.1.1)

SR6: The security functions of PensionApp shall store an audit record of the following events [selection: the request for pension information, the response of PensionApp] and each audit record shall record the following information: date and time of the event, [selection: success, failure] of the event, and EndUser identity. (CC requirements FAU_GEN)
• Activity 7: Categorize and prioritize requirements
• Activity 8: Requirements inspection
• Activity 9: Repository improvement
• To improve and refine the some activities of SREP
• Iterative e incremental facilitates reuse and correct errors, risks are discovered and mitigated earlier, and the process itself can be improved and refined along the way.
• CC does not provide us with any method/guide to include them into the software development process, so that a modification in one document often leads to modify several other documents
• Tool support is critical for the practical application of this process in large-scale software systems due to the number of handled artifacts and the several iterations that have to be carried out
• Characteristics
• Da soporte a SREP → soporte sistemático e intuitivo
• Facilita el desarrollo iterativo e incremental.
• Facilita la reutilización → Repositorio de recursos de seguridad
• Facilita la trazabilidad de requisitos de seguridad y con los otros requisitos funcionales y no funcionales → se integra con RequisitePro.
• El fin que busca es construir conceptos de seguridad en las primeras fases del desarrollo software.
• Soporta e incluye conceptos y técnicas dentro del campo de la Ingeniería de Requisitos de Seguridad y del Análisis y Gestión de Riesgos → casos de uso de seguridad, casos de mal uso…
• Facilita la ejecución del Proceso Unificado (RUP) y también complementa a METRICA v.3 y su interfaz de seguridad con MAGERIT v.2.
• Technology: Visual Basic; RequisitePro Extensibility Server (RPX); RqGUIApp library; MS-Access;
RequisitePro | IRqA | DOORS | Caliber-RM
---|---|---|---
**Extensibilidad de la funcionalidad**<br>Si, API basado en COM | Si, API basado en COM y JAVA | Si, API lenguaje DXL | Si, API basado en COM y JAVA

**Trazabilidad**<br>Si, entre los tipos de requisitos. | Si, entre tipos de requisitos, conceptos, UML, código, test. | Si, entre cualquier elemento del repositorio | Si, entre los tipos de requisitos y otros elementos.

**Integración con otras herramientas del ciclo de vida**<br>Si, con IBM Rational tools (Rational Rose, Rational TestManager, and Rational ClearQuest, MSProject, etc) | Si, con Office, IRqA-Rational Rose, Mercury TestDirector, CVS. | Si, mediante el lenguaje DXL. | Si, con Office, Project, Modelling, Testing e IDE tools (Ej. Mercury TestDirector)

**Soporte reutilización**<br>No | No | No | No

**Repositorio del proyecto**<br>MS-Access, MS-SQL Server, Oracle | MS-SQL Server, Oracle, Informix, MySQL | Propietario | MS-Access y MS-SQL Server

**Validación de la especificación**<br>Si, con matriz de trazabilidad | Si, con matriz de trazabilidad | Si, con matriz de trazabilidad | Si, con matriz de trazabilidad

**Estándares de especificación**<br>Si, la salida puede adaptarse a las plantillas que se definan | Si, la salida puede adaptarse a diferentes formatos/plantillas | Si, la salida puede adaptarse a diferentes formatos | Si, la salida puede adaptarse a diferentes formatos


**Importación de requisitos**<br>Sí, Word y CSV | Si, Word, CSV, Excel, XML | Si, Word y ficheros delimitados | Si, Word y ficheros delimitados

**Control de versiones y líneas base**<br>Sí pero no comparables | Sí y comparables | Sí y comparables | Sí y comparables

**Control acceso por roles y usuarios**<br>Sí | Sí | Sí | Sí

**Requisitos parametrizados**<br>No | No | No | No
• Se decidió extender RequisitePro por su:
  – Extensibilidad
  – Integración automatizada con el resto de actividades del ciclo de vida
  – Experiencia previa
  – Facilidad de uso y Multiusuario
  – Trazabilidad
  – Otros: permite uso de plantillas, bbdd comerciales, etc…
SREP Tool Repository Implementation
### SREPTool - prototype

#### Configuración

| Acción de los | Identificación de | Identificación de | Evaluación del | Elección de | Finalización de | Inspección de | Medio del |  |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| Definiciones  | Activos           | Objetivos de      | Riesgos       | Repartición | Riesgos        | Proyectos     | Repartición|  |
|              |                   | Seguridad         |               |             |                |               |           |  |
| Estandar     |                   |                   |               |             |                |               |           |  |
| Concepto      |                   |                   |               |             |                |               |           |  |
| Descripción   |                   |                   |               |             |                |               |           |  |
|              |                   |                   |               |             |                |               |           |  |
|              |                   |                   |               |             |                |               |           |  |
|              |                   |                   |               |             |                |               |           |  |

#### Stakeholders

**Personas disponibles en la base de datos**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personas</th>
<th>Roles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daniel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ana</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mario</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Personas relacionadas con el proyecto**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personas</th>
<th>Roles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ángela</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mario</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Visión de Seguridad

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concepto</th>
<th>Descripción</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Política de Seguridad Organizacional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Estandar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concepto</th>
<th>Descripción</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISO/IEC 27001:2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO/IEC 27000:2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Descripciones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concepto</th>
<th>Descripción</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estándar</td>
<td>Descripción</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concepto</td>
<td>Descripción</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Descripción</td>
<td>Descripción</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Estandar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concepto</th>
<th>Descripción</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISO/IEC 27001:2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO/IEC 27000:2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Inspección de Proyectos

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concepto</th>
<th>Descripción</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revisión</td>
<td>Descripción</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Análisis</td>
<td>Descripción</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revisión Seguridad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Descripción</td>
<td>Descripción</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Medio del Proyecto

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concepto</th>
<th>Descripción</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medio del Proyecto</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Descripción</td>
<td>Descripción</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Valía de Actividad**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rol Actual de Usuario</th>
<th>Rol Responsable de Actividad</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Listar Proyectos</td>
<td>Ingenieros de Seguridad</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Generar Informe**

---
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The increasingly crucial nature of IS with corresponding levels of new legal and governmental requirements → development of more and more sophisticated approaches to ensuring the security of information → it is fundamental to deal with security at the early stages of software development.

We demonstrate how the security requirements for a security critical IS can be obtained in a guided and systematic way by applying SREP.

Our proposal deals with the security requirements at the early stages of software development in a systematic and intuitive way:

- reusability (Security Resources Repository).
- integration of the CC.
- techniques (miss-use cases, UMLSec,…)
- micro-process of 9 activities, iterative and incremental.
Further Work…

- We are developing a CARE (Computer-Aided Requirements Engineering) tool which supports the process (current prototype: SREPTool)
- A refinement of the theoretical approach by proving it in real case studies.

More details with regards SREP (our suggested methodology) can be found in:

Thank you for your attention!!

Any Question??
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